

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	LA CV 15-1918 AG (JCG)	Date	September 8, 2016
Title	<i>Donald Betancourt v. R. Stephenson, et al.</i>		

Present: The Honorable Jay C. Gandhi, United States Magistrate Judge

Kristee Hopkins

None Appearing

Deputy Clerk

Court Reporter / Recorder

Tape No.

Attorneys Present for Plaintiff:

Attorneys Present for Defendants:

None Appearing

None Appearing

Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED

On March 16, 2015, plaintiff Donald Betancourt ("Plaintiff"), a California prisoner proceeding *pro se*, lodged a civil rights complaint ("Complaint") pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. [Dkt. No. 1.] On July 25, 2016, the Court screened the Complaint, and found it wanting in several respects. [See Dkt. No. 7.] Accordingly, the Court dismissed the Complaint but granted Plaintiff leave to amend within thirty days, *i.e.*, on or before August 24, 2016. [*Id.* at 6-7.] As of today's date, Plaintiff has yet to file a First Amended Complaint.

Accordingly, within **fourteen (14) days** of the date of this Order, Plaintiff is **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE**, in writing, why this action should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and/or comply with a court order. If Plaintiff files his First Amended Complaint within **fourteen (14) days** of the date of this Order, he need not separately respond to this Order to Show Cause.

Plaintiff is expressly warned that his failure to timely file a response will be deemed by the Court as consent to the dismissal of this action without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

cc: Parties of Record

Initials of Clerk

00 : 00

kh